Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") for the nine months ended September 30, 2022, prepared as of November 17, 2022, should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2022 of Silver One Resources Inc. (the "Company" or "Silver One"), together with the audited financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2021, as well as the accompanying MD&A for the period then ended (the "Annual MD&A").

The referenced unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and interpretations of the IFRS Interpretations Committee ("IFRIC"), applicable to the preparation of interim financial statements, including IAS 34, *Interim Financial Reporting*. All amounts included in this MD&A are expressed in Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated.

The Company's critical accounting estimates, significant accounting policies and risk factors as disclosed in the Annual MD&A have remained substantially unchanged and are still applicable to the Company unless otherwise indicated.

These documents and other information relevant to the Company's activities are available for viewing on SEDAR at www.sedar.com or on the Company's website at www.selverone.com.

COMPANY OVERVIEW

Silver One was incorporated pursuant to the provisions of the Business Corporations Act (British Columbia) on June 8, 2007.

The Company's principal activities include the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties. The Company has an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Candelaria silver project (the "Candelaria Project") located in Nevada and has claims staked in eastern Nevada, including the Cherokee project (the "Cherokee Project" or "Cherokee"). The Company also has an option agreement to acquire 100% interest in the Phoenix Silver property in Arizona (the "Phoenix Silver Property" or "Phoenix Silver").

COMPANY HIGHLIGHTS

Current highlights (including subsequent events up to November 17, 2022) include:

Metallurgical core drilling completed at Candelaria

On August 25, 2022, the Company reported the completion of a core drilling program for metallurgical testing at its Candelaria project in Nevada. A total of 1,010 metres in six holes were drilled and the results from the metallurgical testing will be used in an upcoming in-ground resource estimate to be completed by the Company. Bulk samples of oxide mineralization, previously collected with a backhoe from the bottom of the Mt. Diablo pit (August 16, 2022 news release), are already at the Kappes, Cassiday & Associates (KCA) laboratory undergoing bottle roll and column cyanide leach tests to investigate silver and gold extractions.

On August 16, 2022, the Company released the results of the 7,500 meter drill program that was completed in the spring of 2022. The objectives of the program, which included examining the extensions to silver-oxide mineralization adjacent to the past producing open pits and filling in between step-out holes drilled in 2021, were all successfully achieved. Drilling has extended the mineralization 400 m west (to drill hole SO-C-21-96) and 450 m east of the Mount Diablo pit (to drill hole SO-C-22-115). The mineralization remains open along strike in both directions as well as down-dip from Mt. Diablo and Northern Belle pits. Some very high-grade assays were returned. For example, 1,339 grams/tonne ("g/t") Ag and 1.22 g/t Au over 10.67 m from 68.58 m depth, within a broad interval of 48.77 m @ 332 g/t Ag and 0.39 g/t Au were intercepted from 60.96 m in hole SO-C-22-119B (in-fill hole west of Mt. Diablo pit).

Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

MINERAL PROPERTIES

Candelaria, Nevada, United States

The Candelaria Mine is located in central west Nevada just off the paved Highway 95, which connects Las Vegas with Reno. The past producing mine site is serviced by paved road, power and water. Reclamation of the Candelaria Mine has been ongoing since 1998. The mine dumps were re-contoured and seeded, and the heap leach piles were rinsed with fresh water and seeded. Other infrastructure has been removed, and the substantial reclamation work meets all state and federal guidelines.

The project lies within the Candelaria Mining District, historically the richest silver mining district in Nevada. Estimated production from the late 1880's to 1954 was 22 million ounces of silver. From 1874 to 1883, the Northern Belle Deposit alone produced high grade lodes averaging 1,700 – 2,000 grams per tonne (g/t) silver (50 – 60 oz/ton). Open pit mining between 1980 and 1999 resulted in the production of 47 million ounces of silver, with Kinross Gold producing approximately 13 million ounces of that between 1994 and 1999.

SSR Mining Inc. (SSR or Silver Standard) completed a large drilling program prior to acquiring the project in 2001 and commissioned a technical resource report titled "Candelaria Project Technical Report" dated May 24, 2001 (filed on SEDAR on June 20, 2002), prepared by Pincock Allen & Holt. Historical resources as outlined in the report amount to approximately 44 million ounces of silver in the Measured and Indicated categories with an additional 82.8 million ounces of silver in the Inferred category. See section titled "Cautionary Notes on Historical Resource Estimates".

Silver One entered into a 3-year option agreement with SSR (announced on January 17 and 23, 2017) whereby Silver One issued 1,332,900 Silver One common shares worth US\$1,000,000 upon TSX-V approval of the option agreement (January 23, 2017). On January 24, 2018, the Company announced the completion its first anniversary payment to SSR by issuing 2,828,636 shares at a deemed value of US\$1,000,000. On January 28, 2019, the Company announced the completion its second anniversary payment to SSR by issuing 5,827,338 shares at a deemed value of US\$1,000,000. It was further obligated to issue an additional US\$1,000,000 worth of Silver One shares in January 2020. However, Silver one entered into an agreement with SSR and Maverix Metals Inc. ("Maverix") whereby:

- Silver One will assume a Production Payment obligation by SSR to Maverix (formerly to Kinross). For this, SSR agreed to relinquish the option payment of US\$1,000,000 in shares of Silver One and instead received US\$100,000 in units of Silver One. This agreement obliges Silver One to assume the obligation to pay Maverix US\$1,000,000 upon Candelaria achieving commercial production of not less than 2,500,000 ounces of silver per annum (the "Production Payment").
- 2. In consideration of Maverix agreeing to Silver One's assumption of the Production Payment, Maverix will receive US\$100,000 in units of Silver One.
- Maverix has agreed to amend the Production Payment so that Silver One may satisfy it with US\$500,000
 cash and \$500,000 in shares of Silver One on the first anniversary after commencement of commercial
 production at Candelaria.

Each unit will be comprised of one share of Silver One and one-half of one share purchase warrant (each a "Warrant") with each whole Warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional share at a price of \$0.40 per share for a period of three years.

On July 25, 2019, the Company amended the Candelaria Option Agreement ("Amended Agreement"). The Amended Agreement deferred the assumption of the bond obligation by the Company until January 2023. A bi-annual reassessment of the reclamation bond completed in September 2022 now estimates the bond at US\$2,491,757.

Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

Upon completion of the option agreement, Silver One will have earned 100% of SSR's interest in the property, subject to a 3% net smelter returns royalty payable to Teck Resources USA on production from a certain claims group of the property and a charge of \$0.01 per ton payable for waste rock dumped on certain claims.

On January 3, 2018, the Company announced the completion of a 1,110-metre sonic drilling program on the historic heaps, stockpiles and waste dumps.

Assay results were reported in a news release of April 19, 2018. The results are encouraging as the head grade of the leach pads, estimated at 43 g/t, is over 3 times the head grades currently being mined at the Rochester Mine held by Coeur Mining approximately 220 kilometres north of Candelaria. Further, an average cyanide soluble silver extractions of 56% for leach pad material and 64% for fresh material in stockpiles, combined with their respective reported silver grades, provide a promising outlook for the project.

Historic silver recoveries at Candelaria ranged from 42% to 51% of the total silver through the heap leaching ores crushed at sizes below 1 inch. Silver One believes that such recoveries may be improved by milling or the use of high-pressure grinding rolls (HPGR) prior to leaching. HPGR is being employed at numerous mines throughout Nevada, including Coeur's Rochester Mine, with resultant improved silver/gold recoveries and reduced operating costs.

In 2018, the Company prepared various composite samples from the heaps and stockpiles which were sent to McClelland Laboratories, Inc. in Reno, Nevada for ongoing metallurgical testing. The metallurgical results will assist in determining the best methods to potentially recover silver from the heap leach pads, stockpiles and dumps.

The Company is continuing its metallurgical testing to determine the most cost-effective means of potentially extracting the remaining silver from the historic leach pads. In 2019, samples were sent to Kappes Cassiday & Associates in Reno, Nevada for column leach testing using HPGR. Additionally, samples were sent to a silver producing mine to test very fine milling and cyanide recovery of silver using microbubble technology. Results were published in the company's May 21, 2019 news release. In light of the positive results of both HPGR and fine milling tests, the company will continue testing to investigate optimization alternatives.

On November 19, 2019, Silver One commenced a drilling program in the area of the historic open pits. A total of 2,860 meters were drilled in 9 holes. Seven holes were drilled in the Mount Diablo area, north of the Diablo pit and between the Diablo and Northern Belle pits. Two holes were drilled in the Northern Belle area. The program was shut down in March 2020 with the onset of COVID19.

The overall drilling program validated historic high-grade intercepts both down-dip from and between the Mount Diablo and Northern Belle past producing open-pits. Additionally, drill holes SO-C-19-049 and SO-C-19-051 extended the Lower Candelaria Shear (LCS) silver-gold-bearing horizon an additional 130 meters north of the nearest historic drill hole 49 (see News Releases March 2, 2020 and May 26, 2020). An area covering over 1500 meters along strike hosts clusters of higher-grade mineralization and clearly demonstrated that additional drilling is warranted to more fully test the extent and grade of this system.

On October 15, 2020, the Company announced the commencement of a 15,000-meter reverse circulation drilling program and on February 16, 2021, May 26, 2021 and July 15, 2021, reported the completion of the program as well as assays results. The three main objectives of the program, included the outlining of deep, higher-grade silver and gold mineralization down-dip from the previously mined open-pits, extending near-surface, potentially open-pit mineralization to the east of west of the previously mined areas, and examining potential for porphyry related mineralization at depth, were all successfully achieved.

The 15,000-meter drill program was successful in outlining a zone of higher-grade, down-dip mineralization north of the Mt. Diablo pit and between the Northern Belle and Mount Diablo pits. Similarly, drilling immediately east and west of the Mount Diablo pit reports significant widths of mineralized intervals with silver averages exceeding historic cut-off grades of 0.5 opt (approximately 17 grams per tonne) of cyanide soluble silver. This confirms the continuity of near-surface silver-oxide mineralization along-strike for at least 500 m west and 100

Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

m east of the Diablo pit. The mineralized system remains open along-strike in both directions. Additionally, porphyry style alteration and mineralization have been identified in felsic dykes and altered intrusive rocks in hole SO-C-21-081 located west of the Northern Belle open pit. Here deep, drill intercepted gold mineralization associated with this porphyry style alteration returned 5.99 g/t gold over 0.67 m. This enhances the upside exploration potential for the discovery of additional mineralized systems at Candelaria.

On January 10, 2022, the Company announced the commencement of a 7,500-meter reverse circulation drilling program and on April 25, 2022, the completion of the same, and the commencement of a 1,500 metre metallurgical core drilling program.

Cherokee, Nevada, United States

Cherokee is an emerging silver exploration target located approximately 75 kilometres south of the historic Pioche mining district. From 1869 to present, there have been over 6 million tons mined in the Pioche area producing in excess of 1 million ounces of gold, 20 million ounces of silver, 7 million pounds of copper, 350 million pounds of lead and 700 million pounds of zinc. Production was initially from gold-silver-copper veins and later from underlying carbonate-hosted replacement-type mineralized bodies. Mineralization at Cherokee is best characterized as epithermal in nature and is hosted in sedimentary and volcanic rocks, which is geologically similar to the past producing mineralized systems at Pioche.

In July 2018 Silver One announced that it has expanded its portfolio of high-quality silver projects with the staking of 636 lode claims (approximately 13,000 acres or 5,200 hectares) covering a 12-kilometre long by 4-kilometre wide, structurally controlled, silver-copper-gold system in Lincoln County, southeast Nevada. The property, known as Cherokee, hosts a series of epithermal-style veins, along with several large areas of strong silicification and associated jasperoids. Similar epithermal systems to those at Cherokee are known to host numerous gold and silver mines throughout Nevada. A total of 125 samples were collected across the property in Q2 2018, with individual values as high as 1,162 g/t silver, 2.9% copper and over 2 g/t gold being returned from select surface dump, rock grab and rock chip samples taken along the exposed areas of these vein systems.

The Company also announced in July 2018 that it entered into a Lease/Purchase Agreement with Castelton Park LLC of Sparks, Nevada to acquire five patented claims covering 83.5 acres along the Cherokee vein system.

Further sampling results, along the 12+ kilometre long structures were announced on October 2018. A new zone called Hidden Treasure was identified in the southeastern portion of the property. Hidden Treasure lies east-southeast of the historic Johnnie Mine and hosts some of the highest gold values collected to date on the Cherokee property. It occurs where the high-grade Cherokee and Mojoto vein systems are interpreted to merge.

On February 1, 2021, the Company provided an update on the work undertaken on the Cherokee Project between August and December 2020. The work was successful in helping to define drill targets in the Cherokee Mine, Johnnie Mine and Hidden Treasure areas.

On August 17, 2021, the Company announced the commencement of a 1,500-metre diamond drilling program on company-owned patented claims. On November 16, 2021 the Company announced it had completed 796 metres of the planned 1,500 metre diamond drilling program. Silver One experienced extremely slow drilling and other technical issues, which led to the early termination of this program. The drilling tested silver-coppergold epithermal vein targets, on patented claims overlying the past producing Cherokee and Southeast Cherokee historic workings. Four of the nine holes intersected strongly anomalous silver and copper mineralization. The mineralization is associated with thick quartz veining (up to 25 meters thick in hole GC21-01) hosting narrower silver and copper mineralized intervals within the vein and structures.

Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

Several other targets on the property outside the Patented Claims remain untested and permitting of some of these areas is planned for 2023.

Silver One's near-term objective is to continue surface exploration, including additional geophysical surveys to evaluate large target areas of this property that remain untested. The medium-term goal will be to rank drill targets to potentially extend known mineralized areas both at depth and along-strike from the exposed veins, as well as permit selected targets outside the patented claims for subsequent drilling.

Phoenix Silver Project, Arizona, United States

On February 5, 2020, Silver One entered into an agreement to acquire an 100% interest in a very high-grade native silver prospect in Arizona. The property, Phoenix Silver, lies within the "Arizona Silver Belt", immediately adjacent to the prolific copper producing area of Globe, Arizona. Multiple native silver vein fragments, interpreted to have been transported short distances downslope from partially exposed vein structures, have been found at or near-surface in numerous areas throughout the property.

Some of the larger fragments have returned the following:

- A 417-pound native silver vein fragment was found buried beneath the overburden in a dry creek bed immediately downslope from prospective vein targets. Specific gravity measurements indicate a highgrade silver content (possibly up to 70% silver). No assay was performed due to the desire to preserve the unique specimen nature of this sample.
- An 18.7 lb (8.5 kg) native silver vein fragment assayed 459,000 g/t (14,688 oz/ton) silver, as determined by a concentrate assay performed at Skyline Assayers and Laboratories, Tucson, Arizona (ISO: 17025:2005).

The above grab samples are selected samples and are not necessarily representative of the mineralization hosted on the property.

Work undertaken to August 2020 included prospecting, geological mapping, rock and soil sampling, as well as ground supported Self Potential ("SP") geophysical surveys. The SP and soil geochemistry revealed strong anomalies upslope and to the northwest of the area of the very high-grade vein fragments. On January 11, 2021 the Company reported the completion of a drone-borne magnetic survey of the entire property as well as additional geological mapping and soil sampling and a ground electromagnetic survey around the 417 vein.

On January 11, 2021, the Company reported the completion of additional prospecting and geological mapping, soil and rock geochemical sampling, a property wide drone-borne magnetic survey, as well as ground self-potential (SP) and electromagnetic (EM) geophysical surveys in the project, in the vicinity of the vein fragment structures. From this work, a series of exploration targets have been identified and a drill plan (Plan of Operations) has been submitted for permitting to the Tonto National Forest (TNF). The company is expecting a resolution by the end of 2022.

Historical Resource Estimate on Candelaria Project

SSR reported in a technical report titled "Candelaria Project Technical Report" dated May 24, 2001 (filed on SEDAR on June 20, 2002), prepared by Mark G. Stevens, P.G., of Pincock Allen & Holt, the historical mineral resource estimate shown in the table below.

	Candelaria Project							
Historical Resource Estimate								
Area/Type	Classification	Tons	Factored Ag Grade (opt Ag _{total})	Sol. Au Grade (opt Au _{soluble})	AqEq Grade (opt AgEq _{total})	Ag Ounces (Ag _{total})	Aq Equiv. Ounces (AqEq _{total})	
	Measured	3,391,000	4.44	0.004	4.67	15,054,000	15,838,000	
Mount Diablo	Indicated	10,231,185	2.84	0.003	3.01	29,005,000	30,796,000	
	Subtot. M + Ind	13,623,000	3.23	0.003	3.42	44,060,000	46,633,000	
Mount Diablo		5,191,000	2.12	0.003	2.30	11,015,000	11,939,000	
Northern Belle	Inferred	9,162,000	2.26	0.002	2.37	20,661,000	21,714,000	
L.G. Stockpiles		4,000,000	0.75		0.75	3,000,000	3,000,000	
	Subtot. Inf.	55,681,000	1.49	0.002	1.52	82,829,000	84,806,000	

Notes

- 1. Lode resources tabulated at a 0.5 opt Agsoluble cut-off grades, with only Agtotal shown in this table.
- 2. Low grade stockpile resources tabulated for entire accumulation of material.
- 3. Total silver grades factored from soluble silver grades using regression formulas developed by Snowden.
- 4. Silver equivalent grade includes the contribution from the gold grade (soluble) using an Ag:Au equivalency ratio of 57.8:1.

The historical mineral resource estimate used "measured mineral resource", "indicated mineral resource" and "inferred mineral resource", which are categories set out in NI 43-101. Silver One considers these historical estimates reliable as well as relevant as it represents key targets for exploration work by Silver One.

Additional technical detail on preparation of the historical resource estimate:

- (1) Mount Diablo Deposit consisted of 538 drill holes by previous owners and 10 drill holes by SSR. For drill holes that were twinned, the author used the lower of the two values assigned to the original holes. The mineral resource estimate used a kriging estimation method to establish ore zones with a cut-off grade of 0.5 opt Ag. Ordinary kriging was used to interpolate grades in the block model. The block models were set up with block dimensions of 25 feet by 25 feet in plan and 10 feet in height. The maximum search range used in the higher-grade zone was 235 feet, in the lower grade zone it was 1,000 feet and in the background zone it was 350 feet. Block models more than 300 feet from the nearest composite only constituted 3 percent of the total number of estimated blocks and were assigned to an inferred category,
- (2) Northern Belle Deposit consisted of 226 drill holes by previous owners, of which a portion of these holes were duplicated for the Mount Diablo Deposit database. The mineral resource estimate used a kriging estimation method to establish ore zones with a cut-off grade of 0.5 opt Ag. The mineral resource estimate used multiple indicator kriging to interpolate grades in the block model. Block models were set up with block dimensions of 50 feet by 50 feet in plan and 20 feet in height. The maximum search range used in the higher-grade zone was 85 feet, in the intermediate-grade zone was 120 feet and the lower-grade zone was 140 feet and in the lower undifferentiated material below the current pit topography was 260 feet. Block models more than 300 feet from the nearest composite only constituted 3 percent of the total number of estimated blocks and were assigned to an inferred category;
- (4) Low Grade Stockpile is based on limited and incomplete data and documentation. Material placed on the on the stock piles ranged from 0.5 to 0.65 opt Ag,

The qualified person has not done sufficient work to classify the historical estimate as a current mineral resource therefore Silver One is not treating these historical estimates as a current mineral resources.

Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

Current Leach Pads Resource Estimate on Candelaria Project

Silver One reported in the report titled "Technical Report: on the Heap Leach Pads within the Candelaria, Property, Mineral and Esmeralda Counties, Nevada, USA" dated August 6, 2020 (filed on SEDAR on August 19, 2020), prepared by James A. McCrea, P.Geo., an updated mineral resource estimate for the leach pads, including an upgrade of part of the resource from inferred to indicated as shown in the table below:

Zone/	Tonnes	Ag (FA)	Au (FA)	Ag _(soluble)	Au _(soluble) (ppm)	Contained Metal*	
Category	(000)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)		Ag (Moz)	Au (oz)
Indicated							
LP1	22,184.000	42.1	0.074	15.6	0.022	30.017	52,000
Inferred							
LP2	11,451.000	41.8	0.100	23.3	0.032	15.397	36,700

^{*} Contained Metal based on fire assay grades

- Modifying Factors are considerations used to convert Mineral Resources to Mineral Reserves. These include, but are not restricted to, mining, processing, metallurgical, infrastructure, economic, marketing, legal, environmental, social and governmental factors
- A Mineral Resource is a concentration or occurrence of solid material of economic interest in or on the Earth's
 crust in such form, grade or quality and quantity that there are reasonable prospects for eventual economic
 extraction

An Inferred Mineral Resource is that part of a Mineral Resource for which quantity and grade or quality are estimated on the basis of limited geological evidence and sampling. Geological evidence is sufficient to imply but not verify geological and grade or quality continuity.

An Inferred Mineral Resource has a lower level of confidence than that applying to an Indicated Mineral Resource and must not be converted to a Mineral Reserve. It is reasonably expected that the majority of Inferred Mineral Resources could be upgraded to Indicated Mineral Resources with continued exploration.

An Indicated Mineral Resource is that part of a Mineral Resource for which quantity, grade or quality, densities, shape and physical characteristics are estimated with sufficient confidence to allow the application of Modifying Factors in sufficient detail to support mine planning and evaluation of the economic viability of the deposit. Geological evidence is derived from adequately detailed and reliable exploration, sampling and testing and is sufficient to assume geological and grade or quality continuity between points of observation.

An Indicated Mineral Resource has a lower level of confidence than that applying to a Measured Mineral Resource and may only be converted to a Probable Mineral Reserve.

- 3. Mineral resources, which are not mineral reserves, do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of mineral resources has no known issues and do not appear materially affected by any known environmental, permitting, legal, title, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues. There is no guarantee that Silver One will be successful in obtaining any or all of the requisite consents, permits or approvals, regulatory or otherwise for the project or that the project will be placed into production
- 4. The mineral resources in this study were estimated using the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum ('CIM'), CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines prepared by the Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by the CIM Council on May 10, 2014

Metal prices used for the resource estimate are: US\$1500 per ounce for gold; US\$20 per ounce of silver. These prices were used for calculating silver equivalents and for the exploitation scenarios related to reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction.

Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

Three months ended (\$)	September 30 2022 ¹			December 31 2021 ²
Revenues	-	1	-	-
Net loss	(587,676)	(660,442)	(1,456,443)	(2,251,877)
Net loss per share – (basic and diluted) ²	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Total assets	34,045,319	32,539,779	31,853,621	31,938,908

Three months ended (\$)	September 30	June 30	March 31	December 31
	2021	2021	2021	2020
Revenues	-		-	-
Net loss	(1,247,483)	(1,050,457)	(987,495)	(904,528)
Net loss per share - (basic and diluted) ²	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.00)
Total assets	33,874,377	33,702,032	35,052,490	34,426,218

¹ The decrease in net loss during the three months ended June 30, 2022 and three months ended September 30, 2022 was partly due to a lower share-based payment charge and lower loss on marketable securities during the quarters.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three months ended September 30, 2022

During the three months ended September 30, 2022, the Company reported a net loss of \$587,676 or \$0.00 per share compared to a loss of \$1,247,483 or \$0.01 per share for the three months ended September 30, 2021. The most significant expenses of variance to the prior year period are as follows:

Share-based payments of \$275,961 (2021 - \$649,020)

The decrease in share-based payments is the result of options with a higher Black-Sholes fair value being granted during 2021 that had vesting charges over the three months ended September 30, 2021.

Loss on marketable securities of \$31,074 (2021 - \$346,947)

The loss on marketable securities relates to the fair value adjustment of marketable securities held by the company during the three months ended September 30, 2022. As the marketable securities are fair valued at the end of each period, there was a smaller decrease in the share price of the marketable securities held during the period.

Nine months ended September 30, 2022

During the nine months ended September 30, 2022, the Company reported a net loss of \$2,704,561 or \$0.01 per share compared to a loss of \$3,285,435 or \$0.02 per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2021. The most significant expenses of variance to the prior year period are as follows:

Share-based payments of \$849,028 (2021 - \$1,322,737)

The increase in share-based payments is the result of options with a higher Black-Sholes fair value being granted during 2021 that had vesting charges over the nine months ended September 30, 2021.

Travel and related costs of \$133,087 (2021 - \$36,408)

Travel and related costs during the nine months September 30, 2022 increased compared to the prior year period due to an increase in travel to the properties.

² The increase in net loss during the three months ended December 31, 2021 was partly due to a loss on marketable securities of \$1,222,929 that was recognized.

³ The increase in total assets is mostly due to the private placement during the quarter raising gross proceeds of \$9,500,000

⁴ The basic and diluted loss per share calculation results in the same value as there is an anti-dilutive effect of outstanding options and warrants due to the net loss.

Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

Loss on marketable securities of \$904,425 (2021 - \$743,163)

The loss on marketable securities relates to the fair value adjustment of marketable securities held by the company during the nine months ended September 30, 2022. As the marketable securities are fair valued at the end of each period, there was a larger decrease in the share price of the marketable securities held during the period.

Loss on sale of KCP of \$nil (2021 - \$215,349)

On March 3, 2021, the Company completed the sale of its subsidiary, KCP Minerals Inc. ("KCP"), which through its 100% interest in Minera Terra Plata S.A. de C.V. held the Company's three Mexican silver exploration projects, to Silverton Metals Corp. and recognized a loss on sale of \$215,349 from the difference between the carrying value of the assets held and the consideration received.

FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net working capital including cash

As at September 30, 2022, the Company had \$3,812,173 in cash, \$263,817 in short-term investments, and working capital of \$5,962,532, compared to \$8,708,892 in cash, \$261,512 in short-term investments, and working capital of \$10,893,578 at December 31, 2021. The decrease in working capital of \$4,386,036 was primarily due to the cash mineral property expenditures of \$5,044,033.

Operating activities

Cash used in operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2022 was \$1,207,378 compared to cash used of \$748,341 in the nine months ended September 30, 2021. The cash used in operating activities results from the net loss during the period plus non-cash items and changes in working capital.

Investing activities

Cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2022 was \$5,054,561 compared to cash used of \$1,693,294 in the nine months ended September 30, 2021. The cash used in investing activities was mostly due to the \$5,044,033 in cash mineral property expenditures incurred during the period. In the prior year period, the company had \$5,401,338 in cash mineral property expenditures, which was offset by the cash out of short-term investments of \$2,257,319 and the proceeds from the sale of KCP of \$1,250,000.

Financing activities

Cash provided by financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2022 was \$1,290,951 compared to cash provided of \$895,303 in the nine months ended September 30, 2021. The increase in cash provided by financing activities related mostly to an increase in proceeds received related to shares to be issued offset by a decrease in warrants exercised during the period.

Capital expenditures

The capital expenditures of the Company during the nine months ended September 30, 2022 included cash mineral property expenditures of \$5,044,033 on the Company's properties (2021 - \$5,401,338) less a \$88,611 change in mineral property expenditures included in accounts payable (2021 - \$359,507).

Liquidity and capital resources

As at September 30, 2022, the Company had a working capital of \$5,962,532. The Company has not yet put into commercial production any of its mineral properties and as such has no operating revenues or cash flows. Accordingly, the Company is dependent on the equity markets as its sole source of operating working capital, and the Company's capital resources are largely determined by the strength of the junior resource capital markets, by the status of the Company's projects in relation to these markets, and its ability to compete for investor support of its projects. There can be no assurance that financing, whether debt or equity, will always be available to the Company in the amount required at any particular time or for any particular period or, if available, that it can be obtained on terms satisfactory to it.

Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

COMMITMENTS

The President, CEO and director has a long-term employment agreement with the Company. The agreement has a termination clause whereby he is entitled to the equivalent of sixteen times his then current monthly salary plus two additional months for each year of working. As at September 30, 2022, this equated to \$598,000 (December 31, 2021 - \$552,000).

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company's related parties consist of the Company's directors and officers, and any companies associated with them. The Company incurred the following charges during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2022 and 2021:

	Three months ended September 30		Nine months ended September 30	
	2022 2021		2022	2021
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Consulting fees	52,500	52,500	157,515	157,500
Director fees	19,500	15,000	52,500	45,000
Professional fees	17,020	13,463	53,844	39,132
Salaries and benefits	69,413	72,364	212,798	221,693
Share-based payments	140,980	318,901	418,107	667,186

Consulting fees include amounts paid to Raul Diaz, a director of the Company, for geological consulting services. Included in the amounts above is \$33,655 and \$105,345 in consulting fees for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2022 that was capitalized to mineral properties (2021 - \$40,097 and \$117,746).

Director fees were paid to the directors of the Company.

Professional fees include amounts paid to Malaspina Consultants Inc., a company in which the CFO, Carmen Amezquita, is an associate as well as to Amezquita Management Inc., a company of which the CFO is President.

Salaries and benefits include amounts paid to Greg Crowe, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company.

Share-based payments include options granted to officers and directors.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2022, the Company received lease income from a related company with common directors in the amount of \$489 and \$2,161 (2021 - \$624 and \$2,147).

As at September 30, 2022, directors, officers or their related companies owed the Company \$121,942 (December 31, 2021 - \$119,199) and were owed \$3,675 (December 31, 2021 - \$43,946) in respect of services. The amounts due to related parties are unsecured, non-interest-bearing and due on demand.

Key management includes directors and executive officers of the Company. Other than the amounts disclosed above, there was no other compensation paid or payable to key management for employee services for the reported periods.

Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND CAPITAL RISK MANAGEMENT

Classification of financial instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash, short-term investments, marketable securities, receivables, net investment in sublease, accounts payable and accrued liabilities and lease obligations. The Company classifies its cash, short-term investments, receivables and net investment in sublease as financial assets at amortized cost. The Company classifies its accounts payable and accrued liabilities and lease obligations as financial liabilities at amortized cost. There have been no changes to the classification of financial instruments since December 31, 2021.

Financial instruments risk management

The Board of Directors has overall responsibility for the determination of the Company's risk management objectives and policies. The overall objective of the Board is to set policies that seek to reduce risk as far as possible without unduly affecting the Company's competitiveness and flexibility.

The Company thoroughly examines the various financial instruments and risks to which it is exposed and assesses the impact and likelihood of those risks. These risks include foreign currency risk, interest rate risk, credit risk, and liquidity risk. Where material, these risks are reviewed and monitored by the Board of Directors. There have been no changes in any risk management policies since December 31, 2021.

Management of capital

The Company's objectives when managing capital are to safeguard the Company's ability to continue as a going concern in order to pursue the exploration of and retention of its mineral properties. In the management of capital, the Company includes its components of shareholders' equity.

The capital structure of the Company consists of equity attributable to common shareholders, comprised of issued capital, reserves and deficit.

The Company maintains and adjusts its capital structure based on changes in economic conditions and the Company's planned requirements. The Company may adjust its capital structure by issuing new equity, issuing new debt, or acquiring or disposing of assets, and controlling the capital expenditures program. The Company is not subject to externally imposed capital requirements.

The Company does not have a source of revenue. As such, the Company is dependent on external financing to fund its activities. In order to pay for administrative costs, the Company will spend its existing working capital and raise additional amounts as needed.

Management reviews its capital management policies on an ongoing basis. There were no changes in the Company's approach to capital management since December 31, 2021.

CRITICAL JUDGMENTS IN APPLYING ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The critical judgments that the Company's management has made in the process of applying the Company's accounting policies with the most significant effect on the amounts recognized in the Company's consolidated financial statements are as follows:

a) Functional currency

The functional currency for each of the Company and its subsidiary is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates. Determination of functional currency involves certain judgements to determine the primary economic environment of an entity and this is re-evaluated for each new entity following an acquisition, or if events and conditions change.

b) Impairment of mineral properties

Expenditures on mineral properties are capitalized. The Company makes estimates and applies judgment about future events and circumstances in determining whether the carrying amount of a mineral property

Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

exceeds its recoverable amount. The recoverability of amounts shown as mineral properties and deferred exploration costs is dependent upon the discovery of economically recoverable reserves, the Company's ability to obtain financing to develop the properties, and the ultimate realization of profits through future production or sale of the properties. Management reviews the carrying values of its mineral properties on an annual basis, or when an impairment indicator exists, to determine whether an impairment should be recognized. In making its assessment, management considers, among other things, exploration results to date and future exploration plans for a particular property. In addition, capitalized costs related to relinquished property rights are written off in the period of relinquishment. Capitalized costs in respect of the Company's mineral properties may not be recoverable and there is a risk that these costs may be written down in future periods.

c) Classification as assets held for sale

Judgment is required in determining whether an asset meets the criteria for classification as "assets held for sale" in the consolidated statement of financial position. Criteria considered by management include the existence of and commitment to a plan to dispose of the assets, the expected selling price of the assets, the expected timeframe of the completion of the anticipated sale and the period of time any amounts have been classified within assets held for sale. The Company reviews the criteria for assets held for sale each period and reclassifies such assets to or from this financial position category as appropriate. In addition, there is a requirement to periodically evaluate and record assets held for sale at the lower of their carrying value and fair value less costs to sell.

d) Determining amount and timing of rehabilitation costs

Management must determine if estimates of the future costs the Company will incur to complete the rehabilitation work is required to comply with existing laws, regulations and agreements in place at each exploration site. Actual costs incurred may differ from those amounts estimated. Future changes to environmental laws and regulations could increase the extent of rehabilitation work required by the Company. Management determined at the date of the statement of financial position that no material rehabilitation provisions were required under IAS 37, *Provisions, Contingent Liabilities, and Contingent Assets*.

e) Going concern

In preparing these consolidated financial statements on a going concern basis, Management's critical judgment is that the Company will be able to meet its obligations and continue its operations for the next twelve months.

KEY SOURCES OF ESTIMATION UNCERTAINTY

The preparation of consolidated financial statements requires that the Company's management make assumptions and estimates of effects of uncertain future events on the carrying amounts of the Company's assets and liabilities at the end of the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates as the estimation process is inherently uncertain. Actual future outcomes could differ from present estimates and assumptions, potentially having material future effects on the Company's consolidated financial statements. Estimates are reviewed on an ongoing basis and are based on historical experience and other facts and circumstances. Revisions to estimates and the resulting effects on the carrying amounts of the Company's assets and liabilities are accounted for prospectively.

The significant assumptions about the future and other major sources of estimation uncertainty as at the end of the reporting period that have a significant risk of resulting in a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of the Company's assets and liabilities are as follows:

a) Deferred income taxes

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted or substantively enacted tax rates at the reporting date in effect for the period in which the temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred income tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized as part of the provision for income taxes in the period that includes the enactment date. The recognition of deferred income tax assets is based on the assumption that it is probable that taxable profit will be available against which the deductible temporary differences can be utilized.

Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

b) Share-based payments

Share-based payments are determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based on estimated fair values of all share-based awards at the date of grant and are expensed to the statement of loss and comprehensive loss over each award's vesting period. The Black-Scholes option pricing model utilizes subjective assumptions such as expected price volatility, expected life of the option, risk free interest rates, and forfeiture rates. Changes in these input assumptions can significantly affect the fair value estimate.

c) Impairment of mineral properties

The Company applies significant estimates when performing impairment tests on mineral properties. Should these estimates prove to be incorrect, this could result in material differences in the Company's impairment testing and conclusions reached therein.

SECURITIES OUTSTANDING

Authorized share capital: The Company can issue an unlimited number of common shares with no par value.

Issued and Outsta	217,717,207			
<u></u>	Expiry date	Exercise Price	Number	
Options	January 8, 2023	\$0.45	150,000	
•	May 17, 2023	\$0.40	1,085,500	
	July 19, 2024	\$0.26	2,048,000	
	October 15, 2024	\$0.30	60,000	
	September 28, 2025	\$0.70	2,575,000	
	January 28, 2026	\$0.65	100,000	
	March 9, 2026	\$0.67	100,000	
	April 23, 2026	\$0.75	50,000	
	June 6, 2026	\$0.75	2,950,000	
	January 20, 2027	\$0.45	100,000	
	April 26, 2027	\$0.45	300,000	
	August 25, 2027	\$0.33	2,590,000	
Warrants	January 13, 2023	\$0.40	4,576,000	
	January 17, 2023	\$0.40	3,835,000	
	April 14, 2023	\$0.40	435,500	
	July 14, 2023	\$0.65	10,317,329	
Fully Diluted				248,989,536

DISCLOSURE OF CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

In connection with National Instrument 52-109 (Certificate of Disclosure in Issuer's Annual and Interim Filings) ("NI 52-109"), the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company have filed a Venture Issuer Basic Certificate with respect to the financial information contained in the consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2022 and this accompanying MD&A (together, the "Interim Filings").

In contrast to the full certificate under NI 52-109 the Venture Issuer Basic Certificate does not include representations relating to the establishment and maintenance of disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, as defined in NI 52-109. For further information, the reader should refer to the Venture Issuer Basic Certificates filed by the Company with its filings on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

Financing risks

The Company has incurred significant losses since inception. The continued operations of the Company are dependent on its ability to generate future cash flow and obtain additional financing. The Company has traditionally financed its cash requirements through the issuance of common shares. If the Company is unable to generate cash from operations or obtain additional financing its ability to continue as a going concern could be impeded.

Exploration and development

Resource exploration is a speculative business and involves a high degree of risk. There is no known body of commercial ore on the Company's mineral properties and there is no certainty that the expenditures made by the Company in the exploration of its mineral properties or otherwise will result in discoveries of commercially recoverable quantities of minerals. The exploration for and development of mineral deposits involves significant risks, which even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. Although the discovery of an ore body may result in substantial rewards, few properties explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. It is impossible to ensure that the current exploration programs planned by the Company will result in a profitable commercial mining operation.

There is no assurance that the Company's mineral properties possess commercially mineable bodies of ore. The Company's mineral properties are in the exploration stage as opposed to the development stage and has no known body of economic mineralization. The known mineralization of the properties has not been determined to be economic ore and there can be no assurance that a commercially mineable ore body exists on the properties. Such assurance will require completion of final comprehensive feasibility studies and, possibly, further associated exploration and other work that concludes a potential mine is likely to be economic. In order to carry out exploration and development programs of any economic ore body and place it into commercial production, the Company may be required to raise substantial additional funding.

Title of mineral properties

There is no assurance that the Company's title to its properties will not be challenged. Title to and the area of mineral properties may be disputed. While the Company has diligently investigated title to its properties, it may be subject to prior unregistered agreements or transfers or indigenous land claims to which title may be affected. Consequently, the boundaries may be disputed.

Option agreements

The Company is currently earning some of its interests in its mineral properties through option agreements and acquisition of title to the property is only completed when the option conditions have been met. These conditions generally include making option payments and incurring exploration expenditures on the properties. If the Company does not satisfactorily complete its option conditions in the time frame laid out in the option agreement, the Company's title to the mineral property will not vest and the Company will have to write-down the previously capitalized costs related to that property.

Unknown environmental risks for past activities

Exploration and mining operations involve a potential risk of releases to soil, surface water and groundwater of metals, chemicals, fuels, liquids having acidic properties and other contaminants. In recent years, regulatory requirements and improved technology have significantly reduced those risks. However, those risks have not been eliminated and the risk of environmental contamination from present and past exploration or mining activities exists for mining companies. Companies may be liable for environmental contamination and natural resource damages relating to properties that they currently own or operate or at which environmental contamination occurred while or before they owned or operated the properties. However, no assurance can be given that potential liabilities for such contamination or damages caused by past activities at these properties do not exist.

Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

Political regulatory risks

Any changes in government policy may result in changes to laws affecting ownership of assets, mining policies, monetary policies, taxation, rates of exchange, environmental regulations, labour relations, repatriation of income and return of capital. This may affect both the Company's ability to undertake exploration and development activities in respect of present and future properties in the manner currently contemplated, as well as its ability to continue to explore, develop and operate those properties in which it has an interest or in respect of which it has obtained exploration and development rights to date. The possibility that future governments may adopt substantially different policies, which might extend to expropriation of assets, cannot be ruled out.

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

The Company's condensed interim consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2022, and this accompanying MD&A, contain statements that constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of National Instrument 51-102, Continuous Disclosure Obligations of the Canadian Securities Administrators. It is important to note that, unless otherwise indicated, forward-looking statements in this MD&A describe the Company's expectations up to the date of the MD&A.

Forward-looking statements often, but not always, are identified by the use of words such as "seek", "anticipate", "believe", "plan", "estimate", "expect", "targeting" and "intend" and statements that an event or result "may", "will", "should", "could", or "might" occur or be achieved and other similar expressions. Forwardlooking statements in this MD&A include statements regarding the Company's future plans and expenditures, the satisfaction of rights and performance of obligations under agreements to which the Company is a part, the ability of the Company to hire and retain employees and consultants and estimated administrative assessment and other expenses. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors that may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause the actual results to differ include market prices, continued availability of capital and financing, inability to obtain required regulatory approvals and general market conditions. These statements are based on a number of assumptions, including assumptions regarding general market conditions, the timing and receipt of regulatory approvals, the ability of the Company and other relevant parties to satisfy regulatory requirements, the availability of financing for proposed transactions and programs on reasonable terms acceptable to the Company and the ability of third-party service providers to deliver services in a timely manner. Some of these risks and uncertainties are identified under the heading "RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES" as disclosed elsewhere in this MD&A. Additional information regarding these factors and other important factors that could cause results to differ materially may be referred to as part of particular forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements contained herein are made as of the date of this MD&A and the Company disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or results or otherwise except as required by securities law. There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

OUTLOOK

Silver One's aim is to become a premier silver exploration and development company. The Company has an option agreement with SSR to acquire 100% of their interest in the past silver producing Candelaria Mine in Nevada. In 2017, the company initiated a regional exploration program in eastern Nevada. Work conducted to date has identified several highly-prospective target areas, four of which have been staked, and in July 2018, Silver One also entered into a Lease/Purchase Agreement to acquire five patented claims at its Cherokee project in eastern Nevada. In addition to the Nevada properties, the company also has an option agreement to acquire 100% interest in the Phoenix Silver property in Arizona.

Management's Discussion and Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2022

Ultimately, the Company's goal is to add shareholder value through identifying, acquiring, and exploring silver properties. In 2022, the Company intends to grow through further potential acquisitions of companies and/or properties, and organically through the continued exploration of its current mineral property holdings.

QUALIFIED PERSONS

Robert Cann, P. Geo, is a Qualified Person as defined in National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects, and is responsible for the review of technical information in the MD&A.

OTHER INFORMATION

Additional information relating to the Company can be found on SEDAR at www.sedar.com or on the Company's website at www.silverone.com.

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE FOR VENTURE ISSUERS WITHOUT SIGNIFICANT REVENUE - MINERAL PROPERTY EXPENDITURES

Acquisition costs – cash 115,150 - 115,150 - 11 Consulting fees 3,137,515 920,453 2,217,062 780,013 1,43 Drilling 7,332,365 2,398,168 4,934,197 2,289,500 2,64 Field supplies and other 410,224 142,773 267,451 104,605 16 Laboratory and analysis fees 1,539,139 405,768 1,133,371 632,720 50 Land payments 1,406,495 390,197 1,016,298 199,139 81 Staking and survey costs 211,474 83,751 127,723 3,683 12 Travel and accommodation 870,236 300,678 569,558 322,720 24 Currency translation 1,296,065 1,510,789 (214,724) (3,167) (21 Phoenix Silver Acquisition costs - cash 487,609 - 487,609 - 48 Acquisition costs - shares 2,082,500 912,500 1,170,000 780,000 39 Consulting fees	<u>2020</u>
Candelaria Option payments – shares 3,831,678 - 3,600,013 1,432 - 3,600,013 1,432 - 3,600,013 - 3,600,013	\$
Option payments – shares 3,831,678 - 3,831,678 - 3,83 Acquisition costs – shares 384,572 - 384,572 - 38 Acquisition costs – cash 115,150 - 115,150 - 11 Consulting fees 3,137,515 920,453 2,217,062 780,013 1,43 Drilling 7,332,365 2,398,168 4,934,197 2,289,500 2,64 Field supplies and other 410,224 142,773 267,451 104,605 16 Laboratory and analysis fees 1,539,139 405,768 1,133,371 632,720 50 Land payments 1,406,495 390,197 1,016,298 199,139 81 Staking and survey costs 211,474 83,751 127,723 3,683 12 Travel and accommodation 870,236 300,678 569,558 322,720 24 Currency translation 1,296,065 1,510,789 (214,724) (3,167) (21 Phoenix Silver Acquisition costs - cash	
Acquisition costs – shares 384,572 - 384,572 - 384,572 - 384,572 - 384,572 - 384,572 - 384,572 - 384,572 - 384,572 - 384,572 - 384,572 - 384,572 - 384,572 - 384,572 - 384,572 - 384,572 - 11 202,289,500 - 11 202,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,64 22,289,500 2,127,23 3,683 12 2,722 24 22,272 24 22,272 24 22,272 24 22,289,500 2,127,23 3,683	1 070
Acquisition costs – cash 115,150 - 115,150 - 11 Consulting fees 3,137,515 920,453 2,217,062 780,013 1,43 Drilling 7,332,365 2,398,168 4,934,197 2,289,500 2,64 Field supplies and other 410,224 142,773 267,451 104,605 16 Laboratory and analysis fees 1,539,139 405,768 1,133,371 632,720 50 Land payments 1,406,495 390,197 1,016,298 199,139 81 Staking and survey costs 211,474 83,751 127,723 3,683 12 Travel and accommodation 870,236 300,678 569,558 322,720 24 Currency translation 1,296,065 1,510,789 (214,724) (3,167) (21 Phoenix Silver Acquisition costs - cash 487,609 - 487,609 - 48 Acquisition costs - shares 2,082,500 912,500 1,170,000 780,000 39 Consulting fees	•
Consulting fees 3,137,515 920,453 2,217,062 780,013 1,432 Drilling 7,332,365 2,398,168 4,934,197 2,289,500 2,64 Field supplies and other 410,224 142,773 267,451 104,605 16 Laboratory and analysis fees 1,539,139 405,768 1,133,371 632,720 50 Land payments 1,406,495 390,197 1,016,298 199,139 81 Staking and survey costs 211,474 83,751 127,723 3,683 12 Travel and accommodation 870,236 300,678 569,558 322,720 24 Currency translation 1,296,065 1,510,789 (214,724) (3,167) (21 Phoenix Silver Acquisition costs - cash 487,609 - 487,609 - 487,609 - 48 Acquisition costs - shares 2,082,500 912,500 1,170,000 780,000 39 Consulting fees 415,283 2,809 412,474 69,675	4,572
Drilling 7,332,365 2,398,168 4,934,197 2,289,500 2,64 Field supplies and other 410,224 142,773 267,451 104,605 16 Laboratory and analysis fees 1,539,139 405,768 1,133,371 632,720 50 Land payments 1,406,495 390,197 1,016,298 199,139 81 Staking and survey costs 211,474 83,751 127,723 3,683 12 Travel and accommodation 870,236 300,678 569,558 322,720 24 Currency translation 1,296,065 1,510,789 (214,724) (3,167) (21 Phoenix Silver 20,534,913 6,152,577 14,382,336 4,329,213 10,05 Phoenix Silver 487,609 - 487,609 - 487,609 - 48 Acquisition costs - cash 487,609 - 487,609 - 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 </td <td>5,150</td>	5,150
Field supplies and other 410,224 142,773 267,451 104,605 16 Laboratory and analysis fees 1,539,139 405,768 1,133,371 632,720 50 Land payments 1,406,495 390,197 1,016,298 199,139 81 Staking and survey costs 211,474 83,751 127,723 3,683 12 Travel and accommodation 870,236 300,678 569,558 322,720 24 Currency translation 1,296,065 1,510,789 (214,724) (3,167) (21 20,534,913 6,152,577 14,382,336 4,329,213 10,05 Phoenix Silver Acquisition costs - cash 487,609 - 487,609 - 48 Acquisition costs - shares 2,082,500 912,500 1,170,000 780,000 39 Consulting fees 415,283 2,809 412,474 69,675 34 Field supplies and other 6,163 4,403 1,760 95 Laboratory and analysis fees	
Laboratory and analysis fees 1,539,139 405,768 1,133,371 632,720 50 Land payments 1,406,495 390,197 1,016,298 199,139 81 Staking and survey costs 211,474 83,751 127,723 3,683 12 Travel and accommodation 870,236 300,678 569,558 322,720 24 Currency translation 1,296,065 1,510,789 (214,724) (3,167) (217) 20,534,913 6,152,577 14,382,336 4,329,213 10,05 Phoenix Silver Acquisition costs - cash 487,609 - 487,609 - 48 Acquisition costs - shares 2,082,500 912,500 1,170,000 780,000 39 Consulting fees 415,283 2,809 412,474 69,675 34 Field supplies and other 6,163 4,403 1,760 95 Laboratory and analysis fees 11,021 - 11,021 985 1 Land payments 303,037 80,784 222,253 132,733 88	
Land payments 1,406,495 390,197 1,016,298 199,139 81 Staking and survey costs 211,474 83,751 127,723 3,683 12 Travel and accommodation 870,236 300,678 569,558 322,720 24 Currency translation 1,296,065 1,510,789 (214,724) (3,167) (21 Phoenix Silver Acquisition costs - cash 487,609 - 487,609 - 48 Acquisition costs - shares 2,082,500 912,500 1,170,000 780,000 39 Consulting fees 415,283 2,809 412,474 69,675 34 Field supplies and other 6,163 4,403 1,760 95 Laboratory and analysis fees 11,021 - 11,021 985 1 Land payments 303,037 80,784 222,253 132,733 8	2,846
Staking and survey costs 211,474 83,751 127,723 3,683 12 Travel and accommodation 870,236 300,678 569,558 322,720 24 Currency translation 1,296,065 1,510,789 (214,724) (3,167) (21 20,534,913 6,152,577 14,382,336 4,329,213 10,05 Phoenix Silver Acquisition costs - cash 487,609 - 487,609 - 48 Acquisition costs - shares 2,082,500 912,500 1,170,000 780,000 39 Consulting fees 415,283 2,809 412,474 69,675 34 Field supplies and other 6,163 4,403 1,760 95 Laboratory and analysis fees 11,021 - 11,021 985 1 Land payments 303,037 80,784 222,253 132,733 8	0,651
Travel and accommodation 870,236 300,678 569,558 322,720 24 Currency translation 1,296,065 1,510,789 (214,724) (3,167) (212,720) Phoenix Silver 20,534,913 6,152,577 14,382,336 4,329,213 10,05 Phoenix Silver Acquisition costs - cash 487,609 - 487,609 - 487,609 - 480,000 39 Acquisition costs - shares 2,082,500 912,500 1,170,000 780,000 39 Consulting fees 415,283 2,809 412,474 69,675 34 Field supplies and other 6,163 4,403 1,760 95 Laboratory and analysis fees 11,021 - 11,021 985 1 Land payments 303,037 80,784 222,253 132,733 8	7,159
Currency translation 1,296,065 1,510,789 (214,724) (3,167) (213,005) Phoenix Silver Acquisition costs - cash 487,609 - 487,609	4,040
20,534,913 6,152,577 14,382,336 4,329,213 10,055 Phoenix Silver Acquisition costs - cash 487,609 - 487,609 - 486,000 390 Consulting fees 415,283 2,809 412,474 69,675 340 Field supplies and other 6,163 4,403 1,760 95 Laboratory and analysis fees 11,021 - 11,021 985 11 Land payments 303,037 80,784 222,253 132,733 880	5,838
Phoenix Silver Acquisition costs - cash 487,609 - 487,609 - 48 Acquisition costs - shares 2,082,500 912,500 1,170,000 780,000 39 Consulting fees 415,283 2,809 412,474 69,675 34 Field supplies and other 6,163 4,403 1,760 95 Laboratory and analysis fees 11,021 - 11,021 985 1 Land payments 303,037 80,784 222,253 132,733 8	,557)
Acquisition costs - cash 487,609 - 487,609 - 487,609 - 487,609 - 487,609 - 487,609 - 487,609 - 487,609 - 487,609 - 487,609 - 487,609 - 487,609 - 780,000 39 Consulting fees 415,283 2,809 412,474 69,675 34 Field supplies and other 6,163 4,403 1,760 95 Laboratory and analysis fees 11,021 - 11,021 985 1 Land payments 303,037 80,784 222,253 132,733 8	3,123
Acquisition costs - shares 2,082,500 912,500 1,170,000 780,000 39 Consulting fees 415,283 2,809 412,474 69,675 34 Field supplies and other 6,163 4,403 1,760 95 Laboratory and analysis fees 11,021 - 11,021 985 1 Land payments 303,037 80,784 222,253 132,733 8	7,609
Consulting fees 415,283 2,809 412,474 69,675 34 Field supplies and other 6,163 4,403 1,760 95 Laboratory and analysis fees 11,021 - 11,021 985 1 Land payments 303,037 80,784 222,253 132,733 8	0,000
Field supplies and other 6,163 4,403 1,760 95 Laboratory and analysis fees 11,021 - 11,021 985 1 Land payments 303,037 80,784 222,253 132,733 8	2,799
Laboratory and analysis fees 11,021 - 11,021 985 1 Land payments 303,037 80,784 222,253 132,733 8	1,665
Land payments 303,037 80,784 222,253 132,733 8	0,036
	9,520
	3,280 3,280
	3,260 3,061
	,033)
),937
5,020,331 1,270,079 2,337,032 1,030,713 1,32 Cherokee),931
	2,913
Drilling 439,312 - 439,312 439,312	_,515
	9,153
	7,379
	1,275
	5,379
	5,810
	,231)
	2,678
Eastern Nevada	2,070
	3,830
Field supplies and other 6,469 389 6,080 131	5,949
Laboratory and analysis fees 7,161 - 7,161 -	7,161
	3,925
Staking and survey costs 8,970 - 8,970 -	3,970
	7,849
, ,	,296)
	1,388
Total 27,701,262 7,908,087 19,793,175 6,315,049 13,47	